**1 Responsible innovation**

1. The purpose of this Act is to encourage responsible innovation in medical treatment (and accordingly to deter irresponsible innovation).
2. It is not negligent for a doctor to depart from the existing range of accepted medical treatments for a condition if the decision to do so is taken responsibly.
3. For the purposes of taking a responsible decision to depart from the existing range of accepted medical treatments for a condition, the doctor must in particular—
4. obtain the views of one or more appropriately qualified doctors in relation to the proposed treatment,
5. take full account of the views obtained under paragraph (a) (and do so in a way in which any responsible doctor would be expected to take account of such views),
6. obtain any consents required by law to the carrying out of the proposed treatment,
7. consider—
8. any opinions or requests expressed by or in relation to the patient,
9. the risks and benefits that are, or can reasonably be expected to be, associated with the proposed treatment, the treatments that fall within the existing range of accepted medical treatments for the condition, and not carrying out any of those treatments, and
10. any other matter that it is necessary for the doctor to consider in order to reach a clinical judgement, and
11. take such other steps as are necessary to secure that the decision is made in a way which is accountable and transparent.
12. For the purposes of subsection (3)(a), a doctor is appropriately qualified if he or she has appropriate expertise and experience in dealing with patients with the condition in question.
13. Nothing in this section—
14. permits a doctor to carry out treatment for the purposes of research or for any purpose other than the best interests of the patient, or
15. In this Act—
16. “doctor” means a registered medical practitioner;
17. a reference to treatment of a condition includes a reference to its management (and a reference to treatment includes a reference to inaction).

**2 Effect on existing law**

1. Nothing in section 1 affects any rule of the common law to the effect that a departure from the existing range of accepted medical treatments for a condition is not negligent if supported by a responsible body of medical opinion.
2. Accordingly—
3. where a doctor departs from the existing range of accepted medical treatments for a condition, it is for the doctor to decide whether to do so in accordance with section 1 or in reliance on any rule of the common law referred to in subsection (1);
4. a departure from the existing range of accepted medical treatments for a condition is not negligent merely because the decision to depart from that range of treatments was taken otherwise than in accordance with section 1.